

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of January 31, 2018, and will be updated at least quarterly to incorporate new or corrected data provided by jurisdictions. The following 13 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2016). These jurisdictions are not currently subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining, but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. **All other cities and counties beyond these 13 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following page.**

**For more detail on the proration methodology or background data see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.**

	JURISDICTION
1	BEVERLY HILLS
2	CARPINTERIA
3	CORTE MADERA
4	FOSTER CITY
5	HILLSBOROUGH
6	LEMON GROVE
7	NAPA COUNTY
8	SAN ANSELMO
9	SAN FERNANDO
10	SAN LUIS OBISPO
11	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
12	SONOMA COUNTY
13	WEST HOLLYWOOD

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq$ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the most recent Annual Progress Report (2016), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 378 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
1	ADELANTO	31	BIGGS	61	CLEARLAKE
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY	32	BISHOP	62	CLOVERDALE
3	ALISO VIEJO	33	BLUE LAKE	63	CLOVIS
4	ALPINE COUNTY	34	BLYTHE	64	COACHELLA
5	ALTURAS	35	BRADBURY	65	COALINGA
6	AMADOR	36	BRAWLEY	66	COLFAX
7	AMADOR COUNTY	37	BREA	67	COLMA
8	ANDERSON	38	BUENA PARK	68	COLTON
9	ANGELS CAMP	39	BURBANK	69	COLUSA
10	APPLE VALLEY	40	BUTTE COUNTY	70	COLUSA COUNTY
11	ARCADIA	41	CALABASAS	71	COMMERCE
12	ARCATA	42	CALAVERAS COUNTY	72	COMPTON
13	ARROYO GRANDE	43	CALEXICO	73	CONCORD
14	ARTESIA	44	CALIFORNIA CITY	74	CORCORAN
15	ARVIN	45	CALIMESA	75	CORNING
16	ATWATER	46	CALIPATRIA	76	COTATI
17	AUBURN	47	CAMARILLO	77	COVINA
18	AVALON	48	CANYON LAKE	78	CRESCENT CITY
19	AVENAL	49	CAPITOLA	79	CUDAHY
20	AZUSA	50	CARLSBAD	80	CULVER CITY
21	BAKERSFIELD	51	CARMEL	81	CYPRESS
22	BALDWIN PARK	52	CARSON	82	DEL NORTE COUNTY
23	BANNING	53	CATHEDRAL	83	DEL REY OAKS
24	BARSTOW	54	CERES	84	DELANO
25	BEAUMONT	55	CERRITOS	85	DESERT HOT SPRINGS
26	BELL GARDENS	56	CHINO	86	DIAMOND BAR
27	BELMONT	57	CHOWCHILLA	87	DINUBA
28	BELVEDERE	58	CITRUS HEIGHTS	88	DIXON
29	BENICIA	59	CLAREMONT	89	DORRIS
30	BIG BEAR LAKE	60	CLAYTON	90	DOS PALOS

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq 10\%$ Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the most recent Annual Progress Report (2016), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 378 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
91	DOWNEY	131	HERMOSA BEACH	171	LAKE ELSINORE
92	DUARTE	132	HESPERIA	172	LAKEPORT
93	DUNSMUIR	133	HIDDEN HILLS	173	LANCASTER
94	EAST PALO ALTO	134	HIGHLAND	174	LASSEN COUNTY
95	EASTVALE	135	HOLLISTER	175	LATHROP
96	EL CAJON	136	HOLTVILLE	176	LAWNDALE
97	EL CENTRO	137	HUGHSON	177	LEMOORE
98	EL MONTE	138	HUMBOLDT COUNTY	178	LINCOLN
99	EL SEGUNDO	139	HUNTINGTON BEACH	179	LINDSAY
100	EMERYVILLE	140	HUNTINGTON PARK	180	LIVE OAK
101	ENCINITAS	141	HURON	181	LIVINGSTON
102	ESCALON	142	IMPERIAL	182	LODI
103	ESCONDIDO	143	IMPERIAL BEACH	183	LOMA LINDA
104	ETNA	144	IMPERIAL COUNTY	184	LOMITA
105	EUREKA	145	INDUSTRY	185	LOMPOC
106	EXETER	146	INGLEWOOD	186	LONG BEACH
107	FAIRFAX	147	INYO COUNTY	187	LOOMIS
108	FARMERSVILLE	148	IONE	188	LOS ALAMITOS
109	FERNDALE	149	IRWINDALE	189	LOS ALTOS HILLS
110	FILLMORE	150	ISLETON	190	LOS ANGELES COUNTY
111	FIREBAUGH	151	JACKSON	191	LOS BANOS
112	FORT BRAGG	152	JURUPA VALLEY	192	LOYALTON
113	FORT JONES	153	KERMAN	193	LYNWOOD
114	FORTUNA	154	KERN COUNTY	194	MADERA
115	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	155	KING CITY	195	MALIBU
116	FOWLER	156	KINGS COUNTY	196	MANHATTAN BEACH
117	FRESNO	157	KINGSBURG	197	MANTECA
118	GARDENA	158	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	198	MARICOPA
119	GLENN COUNTY	159	LA HABRA	199	MARINA
120	GONZALES	160	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	200	MARTINEZ
121	GRAND TERRACE	161	LA MESA	201	MARYSVILLE
122	GRASS VALLEY	162	LA MIRADA	202	MAYWOOD
123	GREENFIELD	163	LA PALMA	203	MCFARLAND
124	GRIDLEY	164	LA PUENTE	204	MENDOCINO COUNTY
125	GUADALUPE	165	LA QUINTA	205	MENDOTA
126	GUSTINE	166	LA VERNE	206	MENIFEE
127	HALF MOON BAY	167	LAGUNA BEACH	207	MENLO PARK
128	HANFORD	168	LAGUNA NIGUEL	208	MERCED
129	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	169	LAGUNA WOODS	209	MERCED COUNTY
130	HAYWARD	170	LAKE COUNTY	210	MILL VALLEY

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq$ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the most recent Annual Progress Report (2016), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 378 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
211	MILLBRAE	251	PISMO BEACH	291	SAN DIMAS
212	MODESTO	252	PLEASANT HILL	292	SAN GABRIEL
213	MODOC COUNTY	253	PLYMOUTH	293	SAN JACINTO
214	MONTAGUE	254	POINT ARENA	294	SAN JOAQUIN
215	MONTCLAIR	255	POMONA	295	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
216	MONTEBELLO	256	PORT HUENEME	296	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA
217	MONTEREY	257	PORTERVILLE	297	SAN LEANDRO
218	MONTEREY PARK	258	PORTOLA	298	SAN MATEO COUNTY
219	MORAGA	259	POWAY	299	SAND CITY
220	MORENO VALLEY	260	RANCHO CORDOVA	300	SANGER
221	MORRO BAY	261	RANCHO MIRAGE	301	SANTA BARBARA
222	MOUNT SHASTA	262	RED BLUFF	302	SANTA CLARITA
223	MURRIETA	263	REDDING	303	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
224	NATIONAL CITY	264	REDLANDS	304	SANTA MONICA
225	NEEDLES	265	REDONDO BEACH	305	SANTA PAULA
226	NEVADA CITY	266	REDWOOD CITY	306	SANTA ROSA
227	NEWARK	267	REEDLEY	307	SANTEE
228	NEWMAN	268	RIALTO	308	SARATOGA
229	NORCO	269	RICHMOND	309	SAUSALITO
230	NORWALK	270	RIDGECREST	310	SCOTTS VALLEY
231	NOVATO	271	RIO DELL	311	SEAL BEACH
232	OCEANSIDE	272	RIO VISTA	312	SEASIDE
233	OJAI	273	RIPON	313	SEBASTOPOL
234	ONTARIO	274	RIVERBANK	314	SELMA
235	ORANGE	275	RIVERSIDE	315	SHAFTER
236	ORANGE COVE	276	RIVERSIDE COUNTY	316	SHASTA COUNTY
237	ORLAND	277	ROHNERT PARK	317	SHASTA LAKE
238	OROVILLE	278	ROLLING HILLS	318	SIERRA COUNTY
239	OXNARD	279	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	319	SIERRA MADRE
240	PACIFIC GROVE	280	ROSEMEAD	320	SIGNAL HILL
241	PACIFICA	281	SACRAMENTO	321	SISKIYOU COUNTY
242	PALM DESERT	282	SACRAMENTO COUNTY	322	SOLANA BEACH
243	PALM SPRINGS	283	SALINAS	323	SOLEDAD
244	PALMDALE	284	SAN BENITO COUNTY	324	SOLVANG
245	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	285	SAN BERNARDINO	325	SONOMA
246	PARADISE	286	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY	326	SONORA
247	PARAMOUNT	287	SAN BRUNO	327	SOUTH EL MONTE
248	PATTERSON	288	SAN BUENAVENTURA	328	SOUTH GATE
249	PICO RIVERA	289	SAN CLEMENTE	329	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE
250	PINOLE	290	SAN DIEGO COUNTY	330	SOUTH PASADENA

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq$ 10% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the most recent Annual Progress Report (2016), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

These conditions currently apply to the following 378 jurisdictions:

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
331	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	371	WINTERS
332	STOCKTON	372	WOODLAKE
333	SUSANVILLE	373	YOLO COUNTY
334	SUTTER COUNTY	374	YREKA
335	TEHACHAPI	375	YUBA CITY
336	TEHAMA	376	YUBA COUNTY
337	TEHAMA COUNTY	377	YUCAIPA
338	TEMECULA	378	YUCCA VALLEY
339	TEMPLE CITY		
340	TIBURON		
341	TORRANCE		
342	TRACY		
343	TRINIDAD		
344	TRINITY COUNTY		
345	TRUCKEE		
346	TULARE		
347	TULARE COUNTY		
348	TULELAKE		
349	TUOLUMNE COUNTY		
350	TURLOCK		
351	TWENTYNINE PALMS		
352	UKIAH		
353	UNION CITY		
354	UPLAND		
355	VALLEJO		
356	VENTURA COUNTY		
357	VERNON		
358	VICTORVILLE		
359	VILLA PARK		
360	WATERFORD		
361	WATSONVILLE		
362	WEED		
363	WEST SACRAMENTO		
364	WESTLAKE VILLAGE		
365	WESTMORLAND		
366	WHEATLAND		
367	WHITTIER		
368	WILLIAMS		
369	WILLITS		
370	WILLOWS		

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq$ 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 148 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with  $\geq$  10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with  $\geq$  50% affordability.

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
1	AGOURA HILLS	36	FAIRFIELD	71	MONO COUNTY
2	ALAMEDA	37	FOLSOM	72	MONROVIA
3	ALBANY	38	FONTANA	73	MONTE SERENO
4	ALHAMBRA	39	FREMONT	74	MONTEREY COUNTY
5	AMERICAN CANYON	40	FRESNO COUNTY	75	MOORPARK
6	ANAHEIM	41	FULLERTON	76	MORGAN HILL
7	ANTIOCH	42	GALT	77	MOUNTAIN VIEW
8	ATASCADERO	43	GARDEN GROVE	78	NAPA
9	ATHERTON	44	GILROY	79	NEVADA COUNTY
10	BELL	45	GLENDALE	80	NEWPORT BEACH
11	BELLFLOWER	46	GLENDORA	81	OAKDALE
12	BERKELEY	47	GOLETA	82	OAKLAND
13	BRENTWOOD	48	GROVER BEACH	83	OAKLEY
14	BRISBANE	49	HAWTHORNE	84	ORANGE COUNTY
15	BUELLTON	50	HEALDSBURG	85	ORINDA
16	BURLINGAME	51	HEMET	86	PALO ALTO
17	CALISTOGA	52	HERCULES	87	PARLIER
18	CAMPBELL	53	INDIAN WELLS	88	PASADENA
19	CHICO	54	INDIO	89	PASO ROBLES
20	CHINO HILLS	55	IRVINE	90	PERRIS
21	CHULA VISTA	56	LAFAYETTE	91	PETALUMA
22	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	57	LAGUNA HILLS	92	PIEDMONT
23	CORONA	58	LAKE FOREST	93	PITTSBURG
24	CORONADO	59	LAKELAND	94	PLACENTIA
25	COSTA MESA	60	LARKSPUR	95	PLACER COUNTY
26	CUPERTINO	61	LIVERMORE	96	PLACERVILLE
27	DALY CITY	62	LOS ALTOS	97	PLEASANTON
28	DANA POINT	63	LOS ANGELES	98	PLUMAS COUNTY
29	DANVILLE	64	LOS GATOS	99	PORTOLA VALLEY
30	DAVIS	65	MADERA COUNTY	100	RANCHO CUCAMONGA
31	DEL MAR	66	MAMMOTH LAKES	101	RANCHO PALOS VERDES
32	DUBLIN	67	MARIN COUNTY	102	RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA
33	EL CERRITO	68	MARIPOSA COUNTY	103	ROCKLIN
34	EL DORADO COUNTY	69	MILPITAS	104	ROSEVILLE
35	ELK GROVE	70	MISSION VIEJO	105	ROSS

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary

## Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

### When Proposed Developments Include $\geq$ 50% Affordability

When jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income), these jurisdictions are subject to SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

The following list includes the 148 jurisdictions that are not subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with  $\geq$  10% affordability, but are subject to SB 35 streamlining for proposed developments with  $\geq$  50% affordability.

JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION	
106	SAINT HELENA	147	YORBA LINDA
107	SAN CARLOS	148	YOUNTVILLE
108	SAN DIEGO		
109	SAN FRANCISCO		
110	SAN JOSE		
111	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO		
112	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY		
113	SAN MARCOS		
114	SAN MARINO		
115	SAN MATEO		
116	SAN PABLO		
117	SAN RAFAEL		
118	SAN RAMON		
119	SANTA ANA		
120	SANTA CLARA		
121	SANTA CLARA COUNTY		
122	SANTA CRUZ		
123	SANTA FE SPRINGS		
124	SANTA MARIA		
125	SIMI VALLEY		
126	SOLANO COUNTY		
127	STANISLAUS COUNTY		
128	STANTON		
129	SUISUN CITY		
130	SUNNYVALE		
131	SUTTER CREEK		
132	TAFT		
133	THOUSAND OAKS		
134	TUSTIN		
135	VACAVILLE		
136	VISALIA		
137	VISTA		
138	WALNUT		
139	WALNUT CREEK		
140	WASCO		
141	WEST COVINA		
142	WESTMINSTER		
143	WILDOMAR		
144	WINDSOR		
145	WOODLAND		
146	WOODSIDE		